Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/805,770	DURR ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
ALEXA D. NECKEL	1795 .

Interview Summary			
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALEXA D. NECKEL	1795 .	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>ALEXA D. NECKEL</u> .	(3)Kevin McKinley.		•
(2) <u>Shannon Gardner</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>18 June 2009</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	²)⊠ applicant's representative	·]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: pending claims.			
Identification of prior art discussed: art of record.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Discussed the difference examiners suggested that applicant clarify the claim languatize of particle. Such an amendment would overcome the applicants should review the Usami reference as it may be (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUTEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTA	s between Chone and the instance of claim 1 to state that the Chone 102 rejection. The examplicable even with the amendants which the examiner agroup of the amendments that which the amendments that which the same of the control of the control of the amendments that which the examiner agroup of the amendments that which the examiner agroup of the amendments that which the control of the contro	ant invention. To first layer only commers did indicendent. reed would render the could render the been filed, APP ODAYS FROM TOWHICHEVER IS	the ontains one ate that er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	ALEXA D. NECKEL BUPERVISORY PATENT EXA	MINER	·
C. Potent and Tordered Office		ne	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20090618